

PAPER

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 60106

Received 28th April 2015

Accepted 3rd July 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra07789c

www.rsc.org/advances

Highly efficient synthesis of amides from ketoximes using trifluoromethanesulphonic anhydride†

Rajesh G. Kalkhambkar* and Hemantkumar M. Savanur

Trifluoromethanesulphonic anhydride (triflic anhydride: TA) has been successfully used as a reagent for Beckmann rearrangement in the conversion of a variety of ketoximes into amides without any additive or base. This reagent works well for the synthesis of a library of amides with excellent yields.

Introduction

Amides are highly significant precursors for the synthesis of various natural products and intermediates for a large number of medicinally important compounds.¹ Of a number of methods reported for the synthesis of amides, Beckmann rearrangement is quite effective since it avoids the use of corrosive acid chlorides and has been employed in the industrial production of ω -caprolactam and lauro lactam.² In many cases, this reaction requires strong Bronsted acids (which act as dehydrating agents),³ thereby restricting its application to acid sensitive substrates.

A survey of literature reveals application of various techniques and reagents for the synthesis of amides. Methods involving vapour phase,⁴ solvent free conditions,⁵ supercritical water,⁶ ionic liquids,^{7–9} liquid phase conditions,^{10–12} and microwave irradiation on silica support have been reported.¹³ Heterogeneous reaction conditions that are facilitated by supported reagents on solid surfaces have also been developed to bring about Beckmann rearrangement with certain limitations.¹⁴

Metal salts like $\text{Ga}(\text{OTf})_3$,¹⁵ $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$,¹⁶ $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$,¹⁷ TiCl_4 ,¹⁸ and In/Zn couple¹⁹ have also been found to be effective in this reaction. Organic acids or reagents capable of acting as better leaving groups constitute a major group which includes sulfamic acid,²⁰ chlorosulfonic acid,²¹ anhydrous oxalic acids,²² *O*-alkyl DMF salt,²³ CF_3COOH ,²⁴ trifluoromethanesulfonic acid,²⁵ and pivaloyl chloride-DMF complex.²⁶ However, some of these variants suffer from drawbacks such as toxicity, expensive reagents, and production of considerable amounts of by-products. In addition, these reactions also require longer reaction times, high temperature and produce low yields. Though, enzymatic methods are also available, their isolation costs and application to limited substrates can present challenges for

their general applicability.²⁷ In spite of all these still there is a need for simpler and widely applicable method for the synthesis of amides.

Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride has been an effective reagent in a number of reactions.^{28–31} We have demonstrated the applications of this reagent for the conversion of aldoximes into nitriles³² and formation of amides from nitriles by Ritter reaction.³³ In continuation of our work, the present paper illustrates the utility of triflic anhydride in Beckmann transformations.

Results and discussion

For optimization of reaction conditions benzophenone oxime was selected as the model substrate to study the effect of solvent, temperature and reaction time on the overall yield of the reaction (Table 1). Reaction of equimolar quantities of benzophenone oxime **12a** and TA was attempted in methanol under reflux conditions. The yield of the product was very low in this case (Table 1, entry 1). Solvents such as ethanol, acetonitrile and acetone, were suitable for this reagent but to our disappointment only 39–65% of product was isolated even after 8 h under reflux conditions (Table 1, entries 2–4). Further, when we used CCl_4 , reaction did not proceed even after 12 h under reflux conditions (Table 1, entry 5) and very poor conversion of the product was observed in THF and in dioxane (Table 2, entries 6 and 7). Reaction in dipolar aprotic solvents and high boiling aromatic solvents were not effective which are reflected in low percentage of the transformation (Table 1, entries 8–10). Serendipitously, excellent isolated yield (96%, Table 1, entry 11) at a shorter reaction time (2.5 h) was realized when the reaction was carried out at room temperature in DCM. On the other hand, the same reaction was completed in 2 h at reflux mode with 98% of isolated yield. In order to evaluate the influence of other chlorinated solvent in this reaction, ethylene dichloride (EDC) was tried. With EDC, 93% conversion and 81% isolated yield are achieved in 3 h at rt while at reflux mode, conversion and isolated yield noticed are 98% and 87% respectively in 2.4 h (Table 1, entry 12). On the grounds of obtained results and carcinogenic nature (class 2) with EDC, we have demonstrated

Department of Chemistry, Karnatak, University's Karnatak Science College, Dharwad, Karnatak 580001, India. E-mail: rgkalkhambkar@gmail.com

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra07789c

the said Beckmann rearrangement in DCM as the only choice of the solvent for rest of the substrates.

Further, the effect of the reaction temperature and time has also been investigated. Based on the observations it shows that, increase in the reaction temperature and time is propitious to the increasing yield of product (Table 1, entries 11 and 12).

The successful synthesis of *N*-benzylbenzamide in DCM prompted us to investigate the applicability of this procedure for the synthesis of other amides *via* Beckmann rearrangement of variety of ketoximes (see Scheme 1).

A similar trend of reaction was observed in the Beckmann rearrangement of acetoxime to the corresponding *N*-methylacetamide (Table 2, entry 1). The reaction was completed in 2 h with 72% isolated yield. Further, we have also carried out the synthesis of piperidine-2-one in 78% isolated yield by the ring enlargement of cyclopentanone oxime (Table 2, entry 2). In addition, we were also successful in synthesizing 2-azepanone (ω -caprolactam) by the ring enlargement of cyclohexanone oxime (Table 2, entry 3). Based on the qualitative analysis by GC and GC-MS (Table 1, optimization study), it could be known that their main by-products were the corresponding ketones and only trace amounts of dimeric oximes were observed (0.2–0.3%). Much better results could be obtained if different substituted aryl ketoximes were used as substrates in Beckmann rearrangement.

To generalize the applicability of this method on the nature of substitution, we also carried out the Beckmann rearrangement of substituted acetophenone oximes to the corresponding amides (Table 2, entries 4–11). All the reactions were completed in 1.5 to 3.5 h with 92–96% isolated yield and 99% selectivity.

This showed the nature of substituent (either electron withdrawing or electron donating) has no effect on the reactivity of TA for the Beckmann rearrangement. Furthermore, we were successful to convert oxime of 2-acetyl thiophene to thiophene-2-yl acetamide in 4.5 h with 86% isolated yield (Table 2, entry 19) *via* Beckmann rearrangement. But to our disappointment, reaction did not proceed with oxime of 3-acetyl coumarin even after 12 h (Table 2, entry 20).


Thus, the Beckmann rearrangement of wide range of structurally varied ketoximes in the presence of TA was carried out under the optimized reaction conditions described above. The results (Table 2) show that a variety of structurally varied ketoximes rearranged smoothly in DCM to give the corresponding amides in excellent yields (72–96%).

However, under optimized reaction conditions, the reaction did not proceed when we subjected oximes of propiophenone, 2-methyl propiophenone, 1-(pyridine-2-yl)propanone, and 1-(pyridine-3-yl)propanone for Beckmann rearrangement even after 12 h under reflux conditions (Table 2, entries 21–24).

The plausible mechanism of formation of various amides is depicted in Fig. 1.

A plausible explanation for reaction mechanism is discussed in Fig. 1. The hydroxyl group of the oxime **1** reacts with TA results in the formation of *O*-triflyloxime **2** which undergoes intramolecular rearrangement leading to the formation of reactive intermediate nitrile **3**. The conversion of **2–3** proceeds through a concerted 1,2 intramolecular shift. The subsequent reaction of reactive intermediate **3** with *O*-Triflate (OT) affords the formation of *O*-triflylimine **4** which upon hydrolysis losses triflic acid leading to the formation of amide **5**.

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a

					
Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Temp (°C)	Conversion ^b (%)	Yield ^c (%)
1	MeOH	9	Reflux	38	27
2	EtOH	8	Reflux	55	39
3	MeCN	7	Reflux	62	54
4	Acetone	8	Reflux	70	65
5	CCl ₄	12	Reflux	Nil	None
6	THF	6	Reflux	54	43
7	Dioxane	10	110	34	26
8	PhMe	8	110	28	NI ^g
9	DMF	5	140	35	NI ^g
10	DMSO	7	130	30	NI ^g
11	DCM	2.5, 2 ^d	rt	100	96, 98 ^f
12	EDC	3, 2.4 ^d	rt	93, 98 ^e	81, 87 ^f

^a Reaction carried out using compound 12a (2.0 mmol) and Triflic anhydride (2.0 mmol) in 5 mL of solvent, unless otherwise stated. ^b Conversion (%) of product based on GC analysis. ^c Isolated yield of pure product. ^d Reaction time in reflux condition. ^e Conversion (%) of product in reflux condition. ^f Isolated yield of pure product in reflux condition. ^g Not isolated.

Table 2 List of amides synthesized using TA^d




























Entry	Oximes	Product	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)	Time (h)	Yield ^a
1			74	98	3	72
2			80	98	3	78
3			86	99	4	82
4			99	99	2.5	96 (87 ^b)
5			100	99	2.5	92 (81 ^b)
6			100	99	1.5	96
7			99	99	2	94
8			99	98	3	94
9			99	99	3.5	95
10			99	98	2.5	90
11			99	98	3.5	92
12			100	99	2.5	96
13			100	99	3	88
14			100	99	3	90

Table 2 (Contd.)

Entry	Oximes	Product	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)	Time (h)	Yield ^a
15			100	99	4	87
16			99	99	2.5	86
17			99	99	2	90
18			99	99	3	85
19			100	99	4.5	86
20			—	—	12	NR ^c
21			—	—	12	NR ^c
22			—	—	12	NR ^c
23			—	—	12	NR ^c
24			—	—	12	NR ^c


^a Isolated yield of pure product. ^b The reaction was carried out in EDC. ^c No reaction. ^d Note: (1) Identity of the products 4–11 was confirmed by acetylation of corresponding amines except in the case of entry 7 which was confirmed by the nitration of acetanilide. (2) In the case of entry 13 and 14 migration of *p*-fluorophenyl and *p*-bromophenyl moiety was confirmed by the IR carbonyl frequency and melting point with the literature Reports.^{34–37}

Under the optimized set of reaction conditions, different ketoximes (1–19) having varying structural features were reacted with TA to afford corresponding amides in excellent yields *via* Beckmann rearrangement.

In summary, we have identified new, convenient, and highly efficient reagent which rearrange various ketoximes into amides with good to excellent yields.

Experimental

The reagents employed were of high purity commercial samples which were used as received (Fischer, Merck and Sigma Aldrich). Reactions were carried out in oven-dried RB flask. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200–400 mesh). TLC was performed on alumina silica gel 60F₂₅₄ (Fischer) detected by UV light (254 nm) and iodine



Scheme 1 Synthesis of different substituted amides via Beckmann rearrangement.




Fig. 1 Plausible mechanism of formation of amides using TA via Beckmann rearrangement.

vapors. The melting points were determined by open capillaries on a Buchi apparatus and are uncorrected. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet-Impact-410 FT-IR spectrometer, using KBr pellets. ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300F, 300 MHz, spectrometer in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ using TMS as an internal standard with ^1H resonance frequency of 300 MHz, ^{13}C resonance frequency of 75 MHz. GC analyses were performed on Nucon 5700 series Gas chromatograph. GC-MS analyses were performed on Shimadzu 2010 series mass selective detector instrument. Elemental analysis was carried out by using Heraeus CHN rapid analyzer. All the compounds gave C, H and N analysis within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. Dry DCM was obtained from commercial source by the standard procedure.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of amides using triflic anhydride

The desired ketoxime (2.0 mmol) in 5 mL dry DCM was taken in an oven-dried RB flask. To the reaction mixture was added drop wise triflic anhydride (2.0 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) under nitrogen for 10 to 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC and GC-MS (Table 2). After completion of reaction, the contents were poured to crushed ice (100 mL) and neutralized with 10% NaHCO_3 solution (20 mL) and extracted with DCM (15 mL $\times$ 3). The pure products were obtained by column chromatography with hexane–ethyl acetate and DCM–methanol mixture. All the amides were characterized by IR, GC-MS, ^1H NMR, ^{13}C NMR and by elemental analysis and the results are compared with authentic samples.

Characterization data of various amides

N-Methylacetamide (1).¹⁻⁶ Yield: 170 mg (72%); colorless liquid, MP = 204–206 °C; Rf: 0.48 (80 : 20 hexane : EtOAc, iodine vapors); IR (KBr): 3345, 1660, 1246, 1028, 822 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 2.18 (s, 3H, CH_3), 2.83 (s, 3H, CH_3), 10.31 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 168.2, 28.7, 23.4 GC-MS: m/z 73 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\text{NO}$: C, 49.30; H, 9.65; N, 19.16% found: C, 49.28; H, 9.62; N, 19.15%.

Piperidin-2-one (2).^{1-3,5} Yield: 190 mg (73%); colorless liquid, MP = 257–259 °C; Rf: 0.37 (80 : 20 hexane : EtOAc, iodine vapors); IR (KBr): 3342, 1672, 1541, 1308, 1132, 796 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 1.62 (m, 4H), 2.34 (m, 2H), 3.36 (m, 2H), 10.44 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 169.1, 38.8, 36.2, 26.5, 24.2. GC-MS: m/z 99 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{NO}$: C, 60.58; H, 9.15; N, 14.13% found: C, 60.57; H, 9.14; N, 14.13%.

ω -Caprolactam (3).¹⁻⁶ Yield: 230 mg; colorless solid, MP = 68–71 °C; Rf: 0.51 (80 : 20, hexane : EtOAc, iodine vapors); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 1.2 (m, 2H, CH_2), 1.55 (m, 2H, CH_2), 2.15 (m, 2H, CH_2), 2.44 (m, 2H, CH_2), 10.08 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 179.2, 39.2, 35.8, 30.1, 29.6, 21.8. GC-MS: m/z 113 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}$: C, 63.68; H, 9.80; N, 12.38% found: C, 63.70; H, 9.78; N, 12.35%.

N-Phenylacetamide (4).¹⁻⁶ Yield: 288 mg (96%); colorless solid, MP = 114–116 °C; Rf: 0.47 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3351, 3031, 1669, 1582, 1530, 1474, 1421, 1285, 1230, 698 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 2.48 (s, 3H, CH_3), 7.75 (m, 5H), 10.15 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 167.1, 138.8, 128.3, 127.6, 124.1, 121.9, 121.6, 22.9. GC-MS: m/z 135 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_9\text{NO}$: C, 71.09; H, 6.71; N, 10.36% found: C, 71.07; H, 6.70; N, 10.34%.

N-p-Tolylacetamide (5).¹⁻⁴ Yield: 245 mg (92%); colorless solid, MP = 145–148 °C; Rf: 0.35 (98 : 2, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3331, 1665, 1573, 1394, 1310, 921, 719 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 2.32 (s, 3H, CH_3), 2.38 (s, 3H, CH_3), 6.87 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.42 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 10.34 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 168.4, 142.5, 135.2, 129.6, 122.1, 22.8, 24.6. GC-MS: m/z 149 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}$: C, 72.46; H, 7.43; N, 9.39% found: C, 72.45; H, 7.41; N, 9.40%.

N-(4-Methoxyphenyl)acetamide (6).^{1,3,4} Yield: 286 mg (96%); colorless solid, MP = 130–132 °C; Rf: 0.48 (95 : 5 DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3341, 1669, 1576, 1343, 1320, 887, 689 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 2.37 (s, 3H, CH_3), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 6.89 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.46 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 9.97 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 169.6, 154.5, 134.2, 130.2, 122.6, 56.4, 22.6. GC-MS: m/z 165 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_2$: C, 65.44; H, 6.71; N, 8.48% found: C, 65.42; H, 6.70; N, 8.47%.

N-(4-Nitrophenyl)acetamide (7).¹⁻⁴ Yield: 266 mg (94%); pale yellow solid, MP = 215–218 °C; Rf: 0.25 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3320, 1667, 1565, 1380, 1302, 829, 834 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 2.30 (s, 3H, CH_3), 7.84 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 8.40 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 10.12 (s, 1H, NH). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ = 169.1, 145.7, 142.8, 124.6, 122.1, 22.2. GC-MS: m/z 180 $[\text{M}]^+$. Anal. calc. For $\text{C}_8\text{H}_8\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$: C, 53.33; H, 4.48; N, 15.55% found: C, 53.32; H, 4.46; N, 15.54%.

N-(4-Aminophenyl)acetamide (8).^{1,2,4,6} Yield: 285 mg (94%); colorless solid, MP = 165–167 °C; Rf: 0.34 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3340, 1672, 1580, 1323, 1314, 857, 670 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.68 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.42 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 10.18 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 170.2, 142.5, 130.6, 122.8, 118.3, 22.8. GC-MS: *m/z* 150 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₁₀N₂O: C, 63.98; H, 6.71; N, 18.65% found: C, 63.97; H, 6.70; N, 18.66%.

N-(4-Chlorophenyl)acetamide (9).¹⁻³ Yield: 288 mg (95%); colorless solid, MP = 176–178 °C; Rf: 0.33 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3342, 1670, 1590, 1353, 1322, 890, 667 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.38 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.42 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.60 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 10.28 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 168.4, 138.8, 130.9, 129.4, 124.3, 23.4. GC-MS: *m/z* 169 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₈ClNO: C, 56.65; H, 4.75; N, 8.26% found: C, 56.66; H, 4.74; N, 8.25%.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)acetamide (10).^{1,2} Yield: 268 mg (90%); brown solid, MP = 163–165 °C; Rf: 0.34 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3336, 1665, 1570, 1323, 1310, 812, 654 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.18 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.40 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.68 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 9.98 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 169.2, 138.8, 131.2, 125.4, 121.3, 23.8. GC-MS: *m/z* 213 [M - 1]⁺, 215 [M + 1]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₈BrNO: C, 44.89; H, 3.77; N, 6.54% found: C, 44.88; H, 3.75; N, 6.55%.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)acetamide (11).^{1,2} Yield: 280 mg (92%); colorless solid, MP = 153–155 °C; Rf: 0.38 (95 : 5, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3330, 1668, 1530, 1345, 1312, 845, 656 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.12 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.80 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 10.38 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 169.2, 159.8 (C-F, *J* = 245.20 Hz), 134.3, 124.3, 116.4, 22.8. GC-MS: *m/z* 153 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₈FNO: C, 62.74; H, 5.26; N, 9.15% found: C, 62.75; H, 5.24; N, 9.15%.

N-Phenylbenzamide (12).¹⁻⁶ Yield: 285 mg (96%); colorless solid, MP = 161–163 °C; Rf: 0.52 (80 : 20, hexane : EtOAc, UV); IR (KBr): 3359, 1660, 1580, 1530, 1478, 1420, 1320, 1285, 688 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 7.35 (m, 2H), 7.62 (m, 3H), 7.86 (m, 2H), 8.10 (m, 3H), 10.32 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 166.3, 136.8, 134.8, 132.3, 131.6, 129.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.6, 122.9, 121.4, 120.6. GC-MS: *m/z* 197 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₁NO: C, 79.16; H, 5.62; N, 7.10% found: C, 79.17; H, 5.60; N, 7.10%.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)benzamide (13).^{1,2,4} Yield: 260 mg (88%); colorless solid, MP = 169–172 °C; Rf: 0.55 (80 : 20, hexane : EtOAc, UV); IR (KBr): 3341, 1652, 1535, 1325, 1312, 845, 650 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 7.05 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.68 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.95 (m, 3H), 10.18 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 166.6, 160.2, (C-F, *J* = 246.12 Hz), 135.2, 131.6, 130.7, 129.8, 129.3, 128.5, 128.0, 127.4, 124.6, 123.4, 116.8. GC-MS: *m/z* 215 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₀FNO: C, 72.55; H, 4.68; N, 6.51% found: C, 72.55; H, 4.66; N, 6.50%.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)benzamide (14).¹⁻³ Yield: 266 mg (90%); pale yellow solid, MP = 162–165 °C; Rf: 0.67 (80 : 20, hexane : EtOAc, UV); IR (KBr): 3334, 1656, 1540, 1320, 1332, 840, 760 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 7.36 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0

Hz), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 8.16 (m, 3H), 10.28 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 164.5, 159.4, 136.2, 132.6, 130.7, 129.8, 129.0, 128.5, 128.1, 127.2, 123.8, 123.2, 118.4. GC-MS: *m/z* 275 [M - 1]⁺, 277 [M + 1]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₀BrNO: C, 56.55; H, 3.65; N, 5.07% found: C, 56.53; H, 3.64; N, 5.05%.

N-(4-Nitrophenyl)benzamide (15).^{1,2} Yield: 256 mg (87%); pale yellow solid, MP = 202–204 °C; Rf: 0.611 (98 : 2, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3333, 1650, 1563, 1325, 1312, 1280, 945, 780, 694 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 7.56 (m, 5H), 7.80 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 8.34 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 9.80 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 164.6, 148.2, 142.2, 132.6, 131.1, 129.8, 129.3, 128.7, 128.1, 127.4, 124.6, 123.4, 121.2. GC-MS: *m/z* 242 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₀N₂O₃: C, 64.46; H, 4.16; N, 11.56% found: C, 64.45; H, 4.16; N, 11.54%.

N-(2-Methoxyphenyl)acetamide (16).^{1,3,4} Yield: 248 mg (86%); colorless solid, MP = 87–90 °C; Rf: 0.611 (98 : 2, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3340, 3331, 1674, 1580, 1520, 1466, 1411, 1280, 1230, 792 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.42 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.96 (m, 4H), 10.25 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 171.1, 153.2, 126.3, 124.1, 121.9, 121.6, 113.4, 56.8, 22.9. GC-MS: *m/z* 165 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₉NO: C, 71.09; H, 6.71; N, 10.36% found: C, 71.08; H, 6.71; N, 10.35%.

N-(3-Methoxyphenyl)acetamide (17).^{3,4} Yield: 265 mg (90%); colorless solid, MP = 103–105 °C; Rf: 0.514 (98 : 2, DCM : MeOH, UV); IR (KBr): 3338, 1670, 1540, 1530, 1468, 1421, 1230, 1218, 754 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.92 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.72 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.76 (m, 2H), 10.15 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 170.6, 161.5, 140.4, 131.6, 114.4, 110.3, 105.6, 55.4, 24.1. GC-MS: *m/z* 165 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₈H₉NO: C, 71.09; H, 6.71; N, 10.36% found: C, 71.07; H, 6.71; N, 10.34%.

N-(Naphthalene-2-yl)acetamide (18).⁵ Yield: 230 mg (85%); colorless solid, MP = 176–178 °C; Rf: 0.36 (80 : 20, hexane : EtOAc, UV); IR (KBr): 3331, 3020, 1668, 1584, 1530, 1424, 1410, 1235, 1250, 658 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.18 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.75 (m, 2H), 7.10 (m, 2H), 7.89 (m, 3H), 10.10 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 170.2, 136.4, 132.4, 127.8, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 124.1, 121.9, 118.6, 110.3, 23.2. GC-MS: *m/z* 185 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₁₁NO: C, 77.81; H, 5.99; N, 7.56% found: C, 77.80; H, 5.98; N, 7.54%.

N-(Thiophen-2-yl)acetamide (19). Yield: 230 mg (85%); colorless solid, MP = 159–161 °C; Rf: 0.36 (97 : 3, DCM : MeOH, iodine vapors); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.75 (m, 1H), 6.90 (m, 1H), 7.38 (m, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 171.3, 144.4, 126.6, 122.4, 112.8, 23.2. GC-MS: *m/z* 141 [M]⁺. Anal. calc. for C₆H₇NOS: C, 51.04; H, 5.00; N, 9.92% found: C, 51.01; H, 4.98; N, 9.90%.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the convenient method for the synthesis of wide variety of amides from various ketoximes using triflic anhydride. Further work, including the development of synthetic applications of aryl and heteroaryl oximes and exploration of the enormous potential of

triflic anhydride as a triflyl source in organic synthesis is underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the University Sophisticated Instrument Center, KUD for IR, GC, GC-MS, ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR. Thanks are also due to Department of Science and Technology, Science and Engineering Research Board New Delhi (DST-SERB) Project No SB/FT/CS-175/2013 for financial assistance. Authors also thank Prof. M. V. Kulkarni for his useful discussion.

References

- 1 J. M. Humphrey and A. R. Chamberlin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2243.
- 2 (a) R. E. Gawly, *Org. React.*, 1988, **35**, 1; (b) M. B. Smith and J. March, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, 5th edn, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2001.
- 3 (a) W. C. Li, A. H. Lu, R. Palkovits, W. Schmidt, B. Spliethoff and F. Schuth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12595; (b) H. Ichihashi and M. Kitamura, *Catal. Today*, 2002, **73**, 23; (c) K. V. N. S. Srinvas, E. B. Reddy and B. Das, *Synlett*, 2002, 625; (d) A. B. Fernández, M. Boronat, T. Blasco and A. Corna, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2370.
- 4 (a) M. Ghiaci, A. Abbaspur and R. J. Kalbasi, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2005, **287**, 83; (b) L. Forni, G. Fornasari, G. Giordano, C. Lucarelli, A. Katovic, F. Trifiro, C. Perri and J. B. Nagy, *Phys. Chem.*, 2004, **6**, 1842; (c) D. Mao, G. Lu and Q. Chen, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2005, **279**, 145; (d) D. Mao, Q. Chen and G. Lu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2003, **244**, 273.
- 5 (a) S. Chandrasekhar and K. Gopalaiah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 743; (b) S. Chandrasekhar and K. Gopalaiah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 755; (c) M. M. Khodaei, F. A. Meybodi, N. Rezai and P. Salehi, *Synth. Commun.*, 2001, **31**, 2047.
- 6 (a) M. Boero, T. Ikeshoji, C. C. Liew, K. Terakura and M. Parrinello, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 6280; (b) Y. Ikushima, K. Hatakeda, M. Sato, O. Sato and M. Arai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2208; (c) Y. Ikushima, K. Hatakeda, O. Sato, T. Yokoyama and M. Arai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 2910; (d) Y. Ikushima, O. Sato, M. Sato, K. Hatakeda and M. Arai, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2003, **58**, 935.
- 7 (a) J. Peng and Y. Deng, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 403; (b) R. X. Ren, L. D. Zueva and W. Ou, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 8441; (c) J. Gui, Y. Deng, Z. Hu and Z. Sun, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 2681; (d) L. D. S. Yadav, Garima and V. P. Srivastava, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 739.
- 8 R. Kore and R. Sriva, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **376**, 90.
- 9 L. D. S. Yadav, Garima and V. P. Srivastava, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 739.
- 10 (a) Y. Izumi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, 2171; (b) K. Narasaka, H. Kusama, Y. Yamashita and H. Sato, *Chem. Lett.*, 1993, 22, 489.
- 11 (a) N. Iranpoor, H. Firouzabadi and G. Aghapour, *Synth. Commun.*, 2002, **32**, 2535; (b) R. Antikumar and S. Chandrasekhar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 5427; (c) L. Ronchin and A. Vavasori, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2009, **313**, 22.
- 12 (a) J. S. Yadav, B. V. S. Reddy, A. V. Madhavi and Y. S. S. Ganesh, *J. Chem. Res.*, 2002, 236; (b) P. Yan, P. Batamack, G. K. S. Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Catal. Lett.*, 2005, **103**, 165; (c) M. Arisawa and M. Yamaguchi, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 311; (d) M. Boruah and D. Konwar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 7138; (e) S. Chandrasekhar and K. Gopalaiah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 2455; (f) Y. Furuya, K. Ishihara and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11240; (g) B. Wang, Y. Gu, C. Luo, T. Yang, L. Yang and J. Suo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 3369; (h) P. Yan, P. Batamack, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Catal. Lett.*, 2005, **103**, 165; (i) C. L. Allen, B. C. Jonathan and M. J. Williams, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 2724.
- 13 (a) F. M. Moghaddam, A. A. R. Rad and H. Zali-Boinee, *Synth. Commun.*, 2004, **34**, 2071; (b) Z. Li, R. Ding, Z. Lu, S. Xiao and X. Ma, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2006, **250**, 100.
- 14 C. E. Song and S. G. Lee, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 3495.
- 15 P. Yan, P. Batamack, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Catal. Lett.*, 2005, **103**, 3.
- 16 B. Kumar, K. N. Singh and T. C. Bhalla, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 5851.
- 17 A. Martínez-Asencio, M. Yus and D. J. Ram, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **68**, 3948.
- 18 T. Mitsudome, T. Matsuno, S. Sueoka, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukaw and K. Kaneda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 5211.
- 19 L. Allen, C. Burel and J. M. J. Williams, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 2724.
- 20 B. Wang, Y. Gu, C. Luo, T. Yang, L. Yang and J. Suo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 3369.
- 21 M. A. Kira and Y. M. Shaker, *Egypt. J. Chem.*, 1973, **6**, 551.
- 22 S. Chandrasekhar and K. Gopalaiah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 7437.
- 23 Y. Izumi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, 2171.
- 24 L. Ronchin and A. Vavasori, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2009, **313**, 22.
- 25 (a) Y. Izumi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, 2171; (b) K. Narasaka, H. Kusama, Y. Yamashita and H. Sato, *Chem. Lett.*, 1993, 489.
- 26 S. R. Narahari, B. R. Reguri and K. Mukkanti, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 4888.
- 27 D. Kumar and T. C. Bhalla, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **68**, 726.
- 28 F. Effenberger and K. E. Mack, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1970, **25**, 3947.
- 29 (a) G. Aridoss and K. K. Laali, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 8088; (b) Z. Moussa, S. A. Ahmed, S. ELDouhaibi and S. Y. Al-Raqa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 1807.
- 30 X. Zhu, K. D. Kreutter, H. H. Mark, R. Player and M. D. Gaul, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 832.
- 31 M. Ghandi, S. Salahi and M. Hasani, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 270.
- 32 R. G. Kalkhambkar, S. D. Bunge and K. K. Laali, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 5184.
- 33 R. G. Kalkhambkar, S. N. Waters and K. K. Laali, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 867.

- 34 (a) V. P. Srivastava, R. Patel, Garima and L. D. S. Yadav, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 5808; (b) Q. Wang, Y. Deng and F. Shi, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1710.
- 35 C. Ramalingan and Y. T. Park, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 4536.
- 36 C. G. Cheng, G. L. Sun, J. P. Wan and C. R. Sun, *Synlett*, 2009, **16**, 2663.
- 37 H. F. Fun, S. Chantrapromma, W. Sripet, R. Ruanwas and N. Boonnak, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2012, **68**, o1269.